United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITE	D S	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION
V			PENDING TRIAL
Luis Antonio Gonzalez			Case Number: 1:05 Cr 06
facts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § the detention of the defendant pending trial in the	3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following his case.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense description offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. an offense for which the maximum sentence	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compar The offense described in finding (1) was commit or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapse imprisonment for the offense described in findir	andant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described rable state or local offenses. itted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state and since the date of conviction release of the defendant from the following (1). The presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will son(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not
X	(1)	Alterr There is probable cause to believe that the defe	nate Findings (A) endant has committee an offense
X	. ,	If or which a maximum term of imprisonmen under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumptio	t of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act n established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions efendant as required and the safety of the community.
	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	nate Findings (B) ot appear. ndanger the safety of another person or the community.
	l fin		ement of Reasons for Detention bmitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that
		nt is subject to an immigration hold. His counsel presumption in favor of detention.	waived a detention hearing on the record. Defendant has therefore failed
appeal the Uni	ions f . The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the At acility separate, to the extent practicable, from post defendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppore states or on request of an attorney for the Govern	tions Regarding Detention torney General or his designated representative for confinement in a ersons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending tunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
August 29, 2006			/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
Date			Signature of Judge
			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Judge